



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,663	06/27/2003	Justin Wade Hart	06005/39231 7072		
4743	7590 02/14/2005		EXAMINER		
	LL, GERSTEIN & BORI	KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH			
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753		
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	 			
Office Action Summary		10/608,663		HART ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ramesh Kris	shnamurthy	3753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a previous properties of the provision of the provisi	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statutor, iod will apply and will evaluate, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of				
_								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> .							
2a)∐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/608,663

Art Unit: 3753

}-

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 7, drawn to a pressure regulator, classified in class 137, subclass 505.11.
 - II. Claims 8 22, drawn to a valve system and a method of operating it, classified in class 137, subclass 486.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the valving in the pressure regulator are not claimed in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as for regulating pressure independently in a fluid line.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. It is further noted that should the applicant elect the invention corresponding to Group II (i.e. combination) the applicant is further required to elect either Invention A (claims 8-15) directed to the valve system or Invention B (Claims 16-22) directed to the method of operating the valve system. Inventions B and A are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either:

Application/Control Number: 10/608,663

Art Unit: 3753

وثمؤ

(1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced differently by providing suitable vent in the actuator rather than in the regulator.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene L. Mancene, can be reached on (571) 272 - 4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 - 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0861.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,663

Art Unit: 3753

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753